arXiv:1506.01503v1 [math.FA] 4 Jun 2015

HADAMARD TRIPLES GENERATE SELF-AFFINE SPECTRAL MEASURES

DORIN ERVIN DUTKAY, JOHN HAUSSERMANN, AND CHUN-KIT LAI

Abstract. Let R be an expanding matrix with integer entries and let B, L be finite integer digit sets so that (R, B, L) form a Hadamard triple on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We prove that the associated self-affine measure $\mu = \mu(R, B)$ is a spectral measure, which means it admits an orthonormal bases of exponential functions in $L^2(\mu)$. This settles a long-standing conjecture proposed by Jorgensen and Pedersen and studied by many other authors.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 3
3. The quasi-product form 7
4. Proof of the theorem 12

References 16

1. Introduction

In 1974, Fuglede [Fug74] was studying a question of Segal on the existence of *commuting* extensions of the partial differential operators on domains of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Fuglede proved that the domains Ω for which such extensions exist are exactly those with the property that there exists an orthogonal basis for $L^2(\Omega)$, with Lebesgue measure, formed with exponential functions $\{e^{2\pi i\langle\lambda\, ,\, x\rangle} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ where Λ is some discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Such sets were later called *spectral sets* and Λ was called a *spectrum* for Ω.

In the same paper, Fuglede proposed his famous conjecture that claims that the spectral sets are exactly those that tile $\mathbb{R}^d$ by some translations. The conjecture was later proved to be false in dimension 5 or higher, by Tao [Tao04] and then in dimension 3 or higher [Mat05, KM06b, KM06a, FMM06]. At this moment, the conjecture is still open in dimensions 1 and 2.

In 1998, while working on the Fuglede conjecture, Jorgensen and Pedersen [JP98] asked a related question: what are the *measures* for which there exist orthogonal bases of exponential functions?

Let μ be a compactly supported Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denote the standard inner product on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The measure μ is called a *spectral measure* if there exists a countable set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, called *spectrum* of the measure μ, such that the collection of exponential functions $E(\Lambda) :=$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B05, 42A85, 28A25.

Key words and phrases. Hadamard triples, quasi-product form, self-affine sets, spectral measure.

$\{e^{2\pi i\langle\lambda,x\rangle} : \lambda\in\Lambda\}$ forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mu)$. We define the Fourier transform of μ to be

$$\widehat{\mu}(\xi)=\int e^{-2\pi i\langle\xi,x\rangle}d\mu(x).$$

In [JP98], Jorgensen and Pedersen made a surprising discovery: they constructed the first example of a singular, non-atomic spectral measure. The measure is the Hausdorff measure associated to a Cantor set, where the scaling factor is 4 and the digits are 0 and 2. They also proved that the usual Middle Third Cantor measure is non-spectral. Also, Strichartz proved in [Str06] that the Fourier series associated to such spectral fractal measures can have much better convergence properties than their classical counterparts on the unit interval: Fourier series of continuous functions converge uniformly, Fourier series of L^p-functions converge in the L^p-norm. Since Jorgensen and Pedersen's discovery, many other examples of singular measures have been constructed, and various classes of fractal measures have been analyzed [JP98, ŁW02, Str98, Str00, DJ06, Li14, YL15, Li15, DS15, and references therein]. All these constructions have used the central idea of Hadamard matrices and *Hadamard triples* to construct the spectral singular measures by infinitely many iterations. It has been conjectured since Jorgensen and Pedersen's discovery that *all Hadamard triples will generate spectral self-affine measures.* Let us recall all the necessary definitions below:

Definition 1.1. Let $R\in M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ be an $d\times d$ expansive matrix (all eigenvalues have modulus strictly greater than 1) with integer entries. Let $B,L\subset\mathbb{Z}^d$ be finite sets of integer vectors with $N:=\#B=\#L$ ($\#$ denotes the cardinality). We say that the system (R,B,L) forms a *Hadamard triple* (or $(R^{-1}B,L)$ forms a *compatible pair* in [ŁW02]) if the matrix

$$H=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\left[e^{2\pi i\langle R^{-1}b,\ell\rangle}\right]_{\ell\in L,b\in B} \tag{1.1}$$

is unitary, i.e., $H^*H=I$.

Definition 1.2. For a given expansive $d\times d$ integer matrix R and a finite set of integer vectors B with $\#B=:N$, we define the *affine iterated function system* (IFS) $\tau_b(x)=R^{-1}(x+b)$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^d, b\in B$. The *self-affine measure* (with equal weights) is the unique probability measure $\mu=\mu(R,B)$ satisfying

$$\mu(E)=\sum_{b\in B}\frac{1}{N}\mu(\tau_b^{-1}(E)),\ \text{ for all Borel subsets } E \text{ of } \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{1.2}$$

This measure is supported on the *attractor* $T(R,B)$ which is the unique compact set that satisfies

$$T(R,B)=\bigcup_{b\in B}\tau_b(T(R,B)).$$

The set $T(R,B)$ is also called the *self-affine set* associated with the IFS. One can refer to [Hut81] and [Fal97] for a detailed exposition of the theory of iterated function systems. We say that $\mu=\mu(R,B)$ satisfies the *no overlap condition* if

$$\mu(\tau_b(T(R,B))\cap\tau_{b'}(T(R,B)))=0,\ \forall b\neq b'\in B.$$

We say that B is *a simple digit set for R* if distinct elements of B are not congruent $(\operatorname{mod} R(\mathbb{Z}^d))$.

It is well known that if (R, B, L) forms a Hadamard triple, then B must be a simple digit set for R and L must be a simple digit set for R^T. Furthermore, we need only consider equal-weight measures since, in the case when the weights are not equal, the self-affine measures cannot admit any spectrum, by the no overlap condition (see Theorem 1.4 below) and [DL14, Theorem 1.5].

In this paper we prove that the conjecture proposed by Jorgensen and Pedersen is valid:

Theorem 1.3. *Let (R, B, L) be a Hadamard triple. Then the self-affine measure $\mu(R, B)$ is spectral.*

In dimension 1, Theorem 1.3 was first proved by Łaba and Wang [ŁW02] and refined in [DJ06]. The situation becomes more complicated when $d > 1$. Dutkay and Jorgensen showed that the conjecture is true if (R, B, L) satisfies a technical condition called *reducibility condition* [DJ07]. There are some other additional assumptions proposed by Strichartz guaranteeing Theorem 1.3 is true [Str98, Str00]. Some low-dimensional special cases were also considered by Li [Li14, Li15]. In [DL15], we introduced the following set

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{\xi : \widehat{\mu}(\xi + k) = 0, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\} \tag{1.3}$$

and proved

Theorem 1.4. [DL15, Theorem 1.7 and 1.8] *Let (R, B, L) be a Hadamard triple and $\mu = \mu(R, B)$ be the associated equal weight self-affine measure. Then we have:*

(i) μ has the no-overlap condition.

(ii) Suppose furthermore that, $\mathcal{Z} = \emptyset$, then μ is a spectral measure with a spectrum in $\mathbb{Z}^d$.

The complete resolution of Theorem 1.3 points towards the case $\mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$. It was found that there exist spectral self-affine measures with $\mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$ [DL15, Example 5.4]. To prove Theorem 1.3, our strategy is to first show that in the case when $\mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$ the digit set B will be reduced a *quasi product-form* structure (Section 3). This requires an analysis of $\mathcal{Z}$ as an invariant set of some dynamical system, and we use the techniques in [CCR96] (Section 2). Our methods are also similar to the ones used in [LW97]. However, as B is not a complete set of representatives (mod $R(\mathbb{Z}^d)$) (as it is in [LW97]), several additional adjustments will be needed. From the quasi-product form structure obtained, we construct the spectrum directly by induction on the dimension d (Section 4).

2. PRELIMINARIES

We first discuss some preliminary reduction that we can perform in order to prove our main theorem.

Definition 2.1. Let R_1, R_2 be $d \times d$ integer matrices, and the finite sets B_1, B_2, L_1, L_2 be in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. We say that two triples (R_1, B_1, L_1) and (R_2, B_2, L_2) are *conjugate* (through the matrix M) if there exists an integer matrix M such that $R_2 = MR_1M^{-1}$, $B_2 = MB_1$ and $L_2 = (M^T)^{-1}L_1$.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that (R_1, B_1, L_1) and (R_2, B_2, L_2) are two conjugate triples, through the matrix M. Then*

(i) If (R_1, B_1, L_1) is a Hadamard triple then so is (R_2, B_2, L_2).

(ii)The measure $\mu(R_1, B_1)$ is spectral with spectrum Λ if and only if $\mu(R_2, B_2)$ is spectral with spectrum $(M^T)^{-1}\Lambda$.

Proof. The proof follows from some simple computations, see e.g. [DJ07, Proposition 3.4]. □

Fora given integral expanding matrix R and a simple digit set B for R. We let

$$B_n := B + RB + R^2B + ... + R^{n-1}B = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R^j b_j : b_j \in B \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

We define $\mathbb{Z}[R,B]$ to be the smallest R-invariant lattice containing all B_n (invariant means $R(\mathbb{Z}[R,B]) \subset \mathbb{Z}[R,B]$). By Proposition 2.3 below, to prove Theorem 1.3, there is no loss of generality if we assume that $\mathbb{Z}[R,B] = \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Proposition 2.3. *If the lattice $\mathbb{Z}[R,B]$ is not full-rank, then the dimension can be reduced; more precisely, there exists $1 \le r < d$ and a unimodular matrix $M \in GL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ such that $M(B) \subset \mathbb{Z}^r \times \{0\}$ and*

$$MRM^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & C \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

where $A_1 \in M_r(\mathbb{Z})$, $C \in M_{r,d-r}(\mathbb{Z}), A_2 \in M_{d-r}(\mathbb{Z})$. In addition, $M(T(R,B)) \subset \mathbb{R}^r \times \{0\}$ and the Hadamard triple (R,B,L) is conjugate to the Hadamard triple $(MRM^{-1}, MB, (M^T)^{-1}L)$, which is a triple of lower dimension.

If the lattice $\mathbb{Z}[R,B]$ is full rank but not $\mathbb{Z}^d$, then the system (R,B,L) is conjugate to one $(\tilde{R},\tilde{B},\tilde{L})$ for which $\mathbb{Z}[\tilde{R},\tilde{B}] = \mathbb{Z}^d$. Moreover, M is given by $\mathbb{Z}[R,B] = M(\mathbb{Z}^d)$.

Proof. See Proposition 4.1 in [DL15] □

In the following, we introduce the main technique that will be used. We start with the following definition.

Definition 2.4. Let $u \ge 0$ be an entire function on $\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e., real analytic on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\overline{L}$ be a simple digit set for R^T. Suppose that

$$\sum_{l \in \overline{L}} u((R^T)^{-1}(x+l)) > 0, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d) \tag{2.3}$$

A closed set K in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called *u-invariant (with respect to the system $(u, R^T, \overline{L})$)* if, for all $x \in K$ and all $\ell \in \overline{L}$

$$u\left(((R^T)^{-1}(x+\ell)\right) > 0 \implies (R^T)^{-1}(x+\ell) \in K.$$

We say that the transition, using ℓ, from x to $\tau_\ell(x)$ is possible, if $\ell \in \overline{L}$ and $u\left((R^T)^{-1}(x+\ell)\right) > 0$. We say that K is $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic if $K + n = K$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

We say that a subspace W of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a *rational subspace* if W has a basis of vectors with rational components. The following theorem follows from Proposition 2.5, Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 3.3 in [CCR96].

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\overline{L}$ be a complete set of representatives $(\operatorname{mod} R^T(\mathbb{Z}^d))$. Let $u \ge 0$ be an entire function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let K be a closed u-invariant $\mathbb{Z}^d$-periodic set different from $\mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose in addition that g is an entire function which is zero on K. Then*

(i) there exists a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, such that $(R^T)^m x_0 \equiv x_0 (\text{mod } \mathbb{Z}^d)$ for some integer $m \ge 1$, and

(ii) a proper rational subspace W (may equal $\{0\}$) such that $R^T(W) = W$ and the union

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} ((R^T)^k x_0 + W + \mathbb{Z}^d)$$

is invariant and g is zero on $\mathcal{S}$.

Moreover, all possible transitions from a point in $(R^T)^k x_0 + W + \mathbb{Z}^d$, $1 \le k \le m$, lead to a point in $(R^T)^{k-1} x_0 + W + \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Let (R, B, L) be a Hadamard triple and we aim to apply Theorem 2.5 to our set $\mathcal{Z}$ in (1.3). We define the function

$$u_B(x) = \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{b \in B} e^{2\pi i \langle b\,,\, x \rangle} \right|^2, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d). \tag{2.4}$$

Taking the Fourier transform of the invariance equation (1.2), we can compute explicitly the Fourier transform of $\mu = \mu(R, B)$ as

$$|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 = u_B((R^T)^{-1}\xi) |\widehat{\mu}((R^T)^{-1}(\xi))|^2, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d). \tag{2.5}$$

Iterating (2.5), we obtain

$$|\widehat{\mu}(x)|^2 = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} u_B((R^T)^{-n} x), \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d), \tag{2.6}$$

and the convergence in the product is uniform on compact sets. See e.g. [DJ07]. It is well known that both u_B and $|\widehat{\mu}|^2$ are entire functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose that (R, B, L) forms a Hadamard triple and $\mathbb{Z}[R, B] = \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let $\overline{L}$ be a complete set of representatives $(\operatorname{mod} R^T(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ containing L. Suppose that the set*

$$\mathcal{Z} := \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \widehat{\mu}(\xi + k) = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\}$$

is non-empty. Then

(i) $\mathcal{Z}$ is u_B-invariant.

(ii) There exist a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $(R^T)^m x_0 \equiv x_0 (\operatorname{mod} (R^T)\mathbb{Z}^d)$, for some integer $m \ge 1$.

(iii) There exists a proper rational subspace $W \neq \{0\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $R^T(W) = W$ and the union

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} ((R^T)^k x_0 + W + \mathbb{Z}^d)$$

is u_B-invariant and is contained in $\mathcal{Z}$.

Moreover, all possible transitions from a point in $(R^T)^k x_0 + W + \mathbb{Z}^d$, $1 \le k \le m$, lead to a point in $(R^T)^{k-1} x_0 + W + \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Proof. We first prove that $\mathcal{Z}$ is u_B-invariant. Take $x \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\ell \in \overline{L}$ such that $u_B((R^T)^{-1}(x+\ell)) > 0$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We have, with (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = |\widehat{\mu}(x+\ell+R^Tk)|^2 &= u_B((R^T)^{-1}(x+\ell+R^Tk))|\widehat{\mu}((R^T)^{-1}(x+\ell+R^Tk))|^2 \\ &= u_B((R^T)^{-1}(x+\ell))|\widehat{\mu}((R^T)^{-1}(x+\ell)+k)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\widehat{\mu}((R^T)^{-1}(x+\ell)+k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. So $(R^T)^{-1}(x+\ell)$ is in $\mathcal{Z}$, and this shows that $\mathcal{Z}$ is u_B-invariant.

Since (R, B, L) form a Hadamard triple, by the Parseval identity, (see e.g. [ŁW02, DJ07]),

$$\sum_{l \in L} u_B((R^T)^{-1}(x+l)) = 1, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d), \tag{2.7}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{l \in \overline{L}} u_B((R^T)^{-1}(x+l)) > 0, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^d). \tag{2.8}$$

We can apply Theorem 2.5 with $u = u_B$ and $g = \widehat{\mu}$ to obtain all other conclusions except the non-triviality of W. We now check that $W \neq \{0\}$. Suppose $W = \{0\}$. First we show that for $1 \le k \le m$ there is a unique $\ell \in \overline{L}$ such that $u_B((R^T)^{-1}((R^T)^k x_0 + \ell)) > 0$. Equation (2.8) shows that there exists at least one such ℓ. Assume that we have two different ℓ and ℓ' in $\overline{L}$ with this property. Then the transitions are possible, so

$$(R^T)^{-1}((R^T)^k x_0 + \ell) \equiv (R^T)^{k-1} x_0 \equiv (R^T)^{-1}((R^T)^k x_0 + \ell') \pmod{(\mathbb{Z}^d)}. \tag{2.9}$$

But then $\ell \equiv \ell' (\operatorname{mod} R^T(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ and this is impossible since $\overline{L}$ is a complete set of representatives.

By a translation, we can assume $0 \in B$. From (2.7), and since the elements in L are distinct $(\operatorname{mod} R^T(\mathbb{Z}^d))$, we see that there is exactly one $\ell_k \in L$ such that $u_B((R^T)^{-1}((R^T)^k x_0 + \ell_k)) > 0$. Therefore $u_B((R^T)^{-1}((R^T)^k x_0 + \ell_k)) = 1$. But then, by (2.9), $u_B((R^T)^{k-1} x_0) = 1$. We have

$$\left| \sum_{b \in B} e^{2\pi i \left\langle b \,,\, (R^T)^{k-1} x_0 \right\rangle} \right| = N.$$

As $\#B = N$ and $0 \in B$, we have equality in the triangle inequality, and we get that $e^{2\pi i \left\langle b \,,\, (R^T)^k x_0 \right\rangle} = 1$ for all $b \in B$. Then $\left\langle R^{k-1} b \,,\, x_0 \right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $b \in B$, $1 \le k \le m$. Because $(R^T)^m x_0 \equiv x_0 (\operatorname{mod} \mathbb{Z}^d)$, we get that $\left\langle R^k b \,,\, x_0 \right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $k \ge 0$ and thus

$$x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[R, B]^{\perp} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle \lambda \,,\, x \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[R, B]\}.$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}[R, B] = \mathbb{Z}^d$, this means that $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. But $x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$, so $1 = \widehat{\mu}(0) = \widehat{\mu}(x_0 - x_0) = 0$, which is a contradiction. This shows $W \neq \{0\}$. ☐

As $W \neq \{0\}$, we can conjugate R through some M so that (R, B, L) has a much more regular structure.

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose that (R, B, L) forms a Hadamard triple and $\mathbb{Z}[R, B] = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and let $\mu = \mu(R, B)$ be the associated self-affine measure $\mu = \mu(R, B)$. Suppose that the set*

$$\mathcal{Z} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \widehat{\mu}(x+k) = 0 \textit{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\},$$

is non-empty. Then there exists an integer matrix M *with* $\det M = 1$ *such that the following assertions hold:*

(i) *The matrix* $\tilde{R} := MRM^{-1}$ *is of the form*

$$\tilde{R} = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ C & R_2 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.10}$$

with $R_1 \in M_r(\mathbb{Z})$, $R_2 \in M_{d-r}(\mathbb{Z})$ *expansive integer matrices and* $C \in M_{(d-r)\times r}(\mathbb{Z})$.

(ii) *If* $\tilde{B} = MB$ *and* $\tilde{L} = (M^T)^{-1}L$, *then* $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{L})$ *is a Hadamard triple.*

(iii) *The measure* $\mu(R,B)$ *is spectral with spectrum* Λ *if and only if the measure* $\mu(\tilde{R}, \tilde{B})$ *is spectral with spectrum* $(M^T)^{-1}\Lambda$.

(iv) *There exists* $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d-r}$ *such that* $(R_2^T)^m y_0 \equiv y_0 (\operatorname{mod} (R_2^T)\mathbb{Z}^d)$ *for some integer* $m \geq 1$ *such that the union*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} (\mathbb{R}^r \times \{(R_2^T)^k y_0\} + \mathbb{Z}^d)$$

is contained in the set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \widehat{\tilde{\mu}}(x+k) = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \right\},$$

where $\tilde{\mu} = \mu(\tilde{R}, \tilde{B})$. *The set* $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ *is invariant (with respect to the system* $(u_{\tilde{B}}, \tilde{R}^T, \tilde{\tilde{L}})$, *where* $\tilde{\tilde{L}}$ *is a complete set of representatives* $(\operatorname{mod} \tilde{R}^T\mathbb{Z}^d)$. *In addition, all possible transitions from a point in* $\mathbb{R}^r \times \{(R_2^T)^k y_0\} + \mathbb{Z}^d$, $1 \leq k \leq m$ *leads to a point in* $\mathbb{R}^r \times \{(R_2^T)^{k-1} y_0\} + \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Proof. We use Proposition 2.6 and we have x_0 and a rational subspace $W \neq \{0\}$ invariant for R with all the mentioned properties. By [Sch86, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.3b], there exists an integer matrix M with determinant 1 such that $MV = \mathbb{R}^r \times \{0\}$. The rest follows from Proposition 2.6, by conjugation, y_0 is the second component of Mx_0.

□

3. The quasi-product form

From now on, we assume that (R, B, L) satisfies all the properties of $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{L})$ in Proposition 2.7. In this section, we will prove that if $\mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$, the Hadamard triple will be conjugate to a quasi-product form structure.

We first introduce the following notations.

Definition 3.1. For a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we write it as $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})^T$ with $x^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and $x^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-r}$. We denote by $\pi_1(x) = x^{(1)}$, $\pi_2(x) = x^{(2)}$. For a subset A of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d-r}$, we denote by

$$A_2(x_1) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-r} : (x_1, y)^T \in A\}, \quad A_1(x_2) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^r : (x, x_2)^T \in A\}.$$

We also make a note on the notation. Throughout the rest of the paper, we use $A \times B$ to denote the Cartesian product of A and B so that $A \times B = \{(a,b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$. Our main theorem in this section is as follows:

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that*

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ C & R_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

(R,B,L_0) is a Hadamard triple and $\mu=\mu(R,B)$ is the associated self-affine measure and $\mathcal{Z}\neq\emptyset$. Then the set B has the following quasi-product form:

$$B=\left\{(u_i,v_i+Qc_{i,j})^T : 1\le i\le N_1, 1\le j\le |\det R_2|\right\}, \tag{3.1}$$

where

- (i) $N_1=N/|\det R_2|$,
- (ii) *Q is a $(d-r)\times(d-r)$ integer matrix with $|\det Q|\geq 2$ and $R_2Q=Q\tilde{R}_2$ for some $(d-r)\times(d-r)$ integer matrix $\widetilde{R_2}$,*
- (iii) *the set $\{Qc_{i,j}: 1\le j\le|\det R_2|\}$ is a complete set of representatives $(\operatorname{mod} R_2(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}))$, for all $1\le i\le N_1$.*

Moreover, one can find some $L\equiv L_0(\operatorname{mod}\ R^T(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ so that (R,B,L) is a Hadamard triple and $(R_1,\pi_1(B),L_1(\ell_2))$ and $(R_2,B_2(b_1),\pi_2(L))$ are Hadamard triples on $\mathbb{R}^r$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d-r}$ respectively.

The following lemma allows us to find a representative L with certain injectivity property.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that the Hadamard triple (R,B,L) satisfies the properties (i) and (iv) in Proposition 2.7. Then there exists set L' and a complete set of representatives $\overline{L}'$ such that (R,B,L') is a Hadamard triple and the following property holds:*

$$\ell,\ell'\in L' \ (or \in \overline{L}') \text{ and } \pi_2(\ell)\equiv\pi_2(\ell')\ \left(\operatorname{mod}\ R_2^T(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})\right) \implies \pi_2(\ell)=\pi_2(\ell'). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. We note that if $L\equiv L'\ (\operatorname{mod} R^T(\mathbb{Z}^d))$, then (R,B,L') is a Hadamard triple since $\langle R^{-1}b\,,\,R^Tm\rangle\in\mathbb{Z}$ for any $m\in\mathbb{Z}^d$. Now, let $\ell=(\ell_1,\ell_2)^T, l'=(\ell_1',\ell_2')^T$ be in L (or $\overline{L}$) such that $\ell_2\equiv\ell_2'(\operatorname{mod} R_2^T(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}))$. We replace ℓ' by

$$\ell''=\ell'+R^T(0,(R_2^T)^{-1}(\ell_2-\ell_2'))^T\in\mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Then $\ell''\equiv\ell'(\operatorname{mod} R^T(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ and the Hadamard property for L is preserved, the new set $\overline{L}$ is a complete set of representatives $(\operatorname{mod} R^T(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ and $\pi_2(\ell'')=l_2$. Repeating this procedure, we obtain our lemma. ☐

To simplify the notation, in what follows we relabel L' by L and $\overline{L}'$ by $\overline{L}$ so that L and $\overline{L}$ possess property (3.2). We prove some lemmas for the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that the Hadamard triple (R,B,L) satisfies the properties (i) and (iv) in Proposition 2.7. Then*

- (i) *For every $b_1\in\pi_1(B)$ and $b_2\neq b_2'$ in $B_2(b_1)$,*

$$\sum_{\ell_2\in\pi_2(L)}\#L_1(\ell_2)e^{2\pi i\langle R_2^{-1}(b_2-b_2')\,,\,\ell_2\rangle}=0. \tag{3.3}$$

  Also, for all $b_1\in\pi_1(B)$, $\#B_2(b_1)\le\#\pi_2(L)$ and the elements in $B_2(b_1)$ are not congruent $\operatorname{mod} R_2(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$.

- (ii) *For every $\ell_2\in\pi_2(L)$ and $\ell_1\neq\ell_1'$ in $L_1(\ell_2)$,*

$$\sum_{b_1\in\pi_1(B)}\#B_2(b_1)e^{2\pi i\langle R_1^{-1}b_1\,,\,(\ell_1-\ell_1')\rangle}=0. \tag{3.4}$$

Also, for all $\ell_2 \in \pi_2(L)$, $\#L_1(\ell_2) \le \#\pi_1(B)$ *and the elements in* $L_1(\ell_2)$ *are not congruent* $\big(\text{mod } R_1^T(\mathbb{Z}^r)\big)$.

(iii) *The set* $\pi_2(\overline{L})$ *is a complete set of representatives* (mod $R_2^T(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$) *and, for every* $\ell_2 \in \pi_2(\overline{L})$, *the set* $\overline{L}_1(\ell_2)$ *is a complete set of representatives* (mod $R_1^T(\mathbb{Z}^r)$).

Proof. We first prove (i). Take $b_1 \in \pi_1(B)$ and $b_2 \neq b_2'$ in $B_2(b_1)$, from the mutual orthogonality, we have

$$0 = \sum_{\ell_2 \in \pi_2(L)} \sum_{\ell_1 \in L_1(\ell_2)} e^{2\pi i \left\langle (R^T)^{-1}(0, b_2 - b_2')^T \, , \, (\ell_1, \ell_2)^T \right\rangle} = \sum_{\ell_2 \in \pi_2(L)} \sum_{\ell_1 \in L_1(\ell_2)} e^{2\pi i \left\langle (R_2^T)^{-1}(b_2 - b_2') \, , \, \ell_2 \right\rangle}$$

$$= \sum_{\ell_2 \in \pi_2(L)} \#L_1(\ell_2) e^{2\pi i \left\langle (R_2^T)^{-1}(b_2 - b_2') \, , \, \ell_2 \right\rangle}.$$

This shows (3.3) and that the rows of the matrix

$$\left(\sqrt{\#L_1(\ell_2)} e^{2\pi i \left\langle (R_2^T)^{-1} b_2 \, , \, \ell_2 \right\rangle} \right)_{\ell_2 \in \pi_2(L), b_2 \in B_2(b_1)}$$

are orthogonal. Therefore $\#B_2(b_1) \le \#\pi_2(L)$ for all $b_1 \in \pi_1(B)$. Equation (3.3) implies that the elements in $B_2(b_1)$ cannot be congruent $\operatorname{mod} R_2^T(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$

(ii) follows from an analogous computation.

For (iii), the elements in $\pi_2(\overline{L})$ are not congruent $(\operatorname{mod} R_2^T(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}))$, by the construction in Lemma 3.3. If $\ell_2 \in \pi_2(\overline{L})$ and $\ell_1, \ell_1' \in \overline{L}_1(l_2)$ are congruent $(\operatorname{mod} R_1^T(\mathbb{Z}^r))$ then $(\ell_1, \ell_2)^T \equiv (\ell_1', \ell_2)^T (\text{mod } R^T(\mathbb{Z}^d))$. Thus, $\ell_1 = \ell_1'$, as $\overline{L}$ is a complete set of representatives of $R^T(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. From these, we have $\#\pi_2(L) \le |\det R_2|$ and, for all $\ell_2 \in \pi_2(\overline{L})$, $\#L_1(\ell_2) \le |\det R_1|$. Since

$$|\det R| = |\det R_1| |\det R_2| \ge \sum_{\ell_2 \in \pi_2(\overline{L})} \#\overline{L}_1(\ell_2) = \#\overline{L} = |\det R|,$$

we must have equalities in all inequalities and we get that the sets are indeed *complete* sets of representatives. ☐

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $1 \le j \le m$. *If the the transition from* $(x, (R_2^T)^j y_0)^T$ *is possible with the digit* $\ell \in \overline{L}$, *then* $\pi_2(\ell) = 0$.

Proof. If the transition is possible with digit $\ell = (\ell_1, \ell_2)^T$, then, by Proposition 2.7,

$$(R^T)^{-1}((x, (R_2^T)^j y_0)^T + (\ell_1, \ell_2)^T) \equiv (y, (R_2^T)^{j-1} y_0)^T (\operatorname{mod} \mathbb{Z}^d),$$

for some $y \in \mathbb{R}^r$, and therefore $(R_2^T)^{-1}\ell_2 \equiv 0$ (mod $\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}$), so $\ell_2 \equiv 0$ $(\operatorname{mod} R_2^T(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}))$. By Lemma 3.3, $\ell_2 = 0$. ☐

Lemma 3.6. *Let* $y_j := (R_2^T)^j y_0$, $1 \le j \le m$. *Then, for all* $x \in \mathbb{R}^r$ *and all* $\ell = (\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \overline{L}$ *with* $\pi_2(\ell) = \ell_2 \neq 0$, *we have that*

$$\sum_{b_2 \in B_2(b_1)} e^{2\pi i \left\langle b_2 \, , \, (R_2^T)^{-1}(y_j + \ell_2) \right\rangle} = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. We have that $(R^T)^{-1}$ is of the form

$$(R^T)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (R_1^T)^{-1} & D \\ 0 & (R_2^T)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^r$ and all $\ell = (\ell_1, \ell_2) \in \overline{L}$ with $\pi_2(\ell) = \ell_2 \neq 0$, we have that $u_B((R^T)^{-1}((x, y_j)^T + (\ell_1, \ell_2)^T)) = 0$, because such transitions are not possible by Lemma 3.5. Then

$$\sum_{b_1 \in \pi_1(B)} \sum_{b_2 \in B_2(b_1)} e^{2\pi i(\langle b_1\, ,\, R_1^{-1}(x+l_1)+D(y_1+l_2)\rangle + \langle b_2\, ,\, (R_2^T)^{-1}(y_j+l_2)\rangle)} = 0.$$

Since x is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\sum_{b_1 \in \pi_1(B)} e^{2\pi i\langle b_1\, ,\, x\rangle} \sum_{b_2 \in B_2(b_1)} e^{2\pi i\langle b_2\, ,\, (R_2^T)^{-1}(y_j+l_2)\rangle} = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Therefore, by linear independence of exponential functions, we obtain (3.5). ☐

Lemma 3.7. *For every* $b_1 \in \pi_1(B)$, *the set* $B_2(b_1)$ *is a complete set of representatives* $(\bmod R_2(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}))$. *Therefore* $\#B_2(b_1) = |\det R_2| = \#\pi_2(L)$ *and* $(R_2, B_2(b_1), \pi_2(L))$ *is a Hadamard triple. Also, for every* $\ell_2 \in \pi_2(L)$, $\#L_1(\ell_2) = \#\pi_1(B) = \frac{N}{|\det R_2|} =: N_1$ *and* $(R_1, \pi_1(B), L_1(\ell_2))$ *is a Hadamard triple.*

Proof. Let $b_1 \in \pi_1(B)$. We know from Lemma 3.4(i) that the elements of $B_2(b_1)$ are not congruent $(\bmod\ R_2(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}))$. We can identify $B_2(b_1)$ with a subset of the group $\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}/R_2(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$. The dual group is $\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}/R_2^T(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$ which we can identify with $\pi_2(\overline{L})$. For a function f on $\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}/R_2(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$, the Fourier transform is

$$\hat{f}(\ell_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det R_2|}} \sum_{b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-r}/R_2(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})} f(b_2) e^{-2\pi i\langle b_2\, ,\, (R_2^T)^{-1}\ell_2\rangle}, \quad (\ell_2 \in \pi_2(L_2)).$$

Let $1 \leq j \leq m$. Consider the function

$$f(b_2) = \begin{cases} e^{-2\pi i\langle b_2\, ,\, (R_2^T)^{-1}y_j\rangle}, & \text{if } b_2 \in B_2(b_1) \\ 0, & \text{if } b_2 \in (\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}/R_2(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})) \setminus B_2(b_1). \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Then equation (3.5) shows that $\hat{f}(\ell_2) = 0$ for $\ell_2 \in \pi_2(\overline{L})$, $\ell_2 \neq 0$. Thus $\hat{f} = c \cdot \chi_0$ for some constant c and by $\hat{f}(0) = c$,

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det R_2|}} \sum_{b_2 \in B_2(b_1)} e^{-2\pi i\langle b_2\, ,\, (R_2^T)^{-1}(y_j)\rangle}. \tag{3.7}$$

Now we apply the inverse Fourier transform and we get

$$f(b_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det R_2|}} \sum_{\ell_2 \in \pi_2(\overline{L})} c\chi_0(\ell_2) e^{2\pi i\langle b_2\, ,\, (R_2^T)^{-1}\ell_2\rangle} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{|\det R_2|}}.$$

So $f(b_2)$ is constant and therefore $B_2(b_1) = \mathbb{Z}^{d-r}/R_2(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$, which means that $B_2(b_1)$ is a complete set of representatives and $\#B_2(b_1) = |\det R_2|$. Since the elements in $\#\pi_2(L)$ are not congruent $(\bmod R_2^T\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$, we get that $\#\pi_2(L) \leq |\det R_2|$, and with Lemma 3.4 (i), it follows that $\#\pi_2(L) =$

$\#B_2(b_1) = |\det R_2|$. In particular, $\pi_2(L)$ is a complete set of representatives $(\operatorname{mod} R_2^T(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}))$, so $(R_2, B_2(b_1), \pi_1(L))$ form a Hadamard triple.

Since $\sum_{b_1\in\pi_1(B)} \#B_2(b_1) = N$, we get that $\#\pi_1(B) = N/|\det R_2|$. With Lemma 3.4(ii), we have

$$N = \sum_{\ell_2\in\pi_2(L)} \#L_1(\ell_2) \le \#\pi_2(L)\pi_1(B) = N.$$

Therefore we have equality in all inequalities so $\#L_1(\ell_2) = \#\pi_1(B) = N/|\det R_2|$. Then (3.4) shows that $(R_1, \pi_1(B), L_1(\ell_2))$ is a Hadamard triple for all $\ell_2 \in \pi_2(L)$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 3.7, we know that B must have the form

$$\bigcup_{b_1\in\pi_1(B)} \{b_1\} \times B_2(b_1)$$

where $\#\pi_1(B) = N_1$ and $B_2(b_1)$ is a set of complete representative (mod $R_2^T(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$). By enumerating elements $\pi_1(B) = \{u_1, ..., u_{N_1}\}$ and $B_2(u_i) = \{d_{i,1}, ..., d_{i,|\det R_2|}\}$, we can write

$$B = \left\{(u_i, d_{i,j})^T : 1 \le i \le N_1, 1 \le j \le |\det R_2|\right\}.$$

It suffices to show $d_{i,j}$ are given by $v_i+Qc_{i,j}$ where Q has the properties (ii) and (iii) in the theorem. From the equation (3.6) and the fact that f is a constant, we have, for $b_2 \in B_2(b_1)$ and $b_1 \in \pi_1(B)$,

$$e^{-2\pi i\left\langle b_2\,,\,(R_2^T)^{-1}y_j\right\rangle} = f(b_2) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{|\det R_2|}},$$

which implies (from (3.7)) that

$$\frac{1}{|\det R_2|}\sum_{b_2'\in B_2(b_1)} e^{2\pi i\left\langle (b_2-b_2')\,,\,(R_2^T)^{-1}y_j\right\rangle} = 1.$$

By applying the triangle inequality to the sum above, we see that we must have

$$e^{2\pi i\left\langle b_2-b_2'\,,\,(R_2^T)^{-1}y_j\right\rangle} = 1,$$

which means

$$\left\langle b_2 - b_2'\,,\,(R_2^T)^{-1}y_j\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } b_2, b_2' \in B_2(b_1), b_1 \in \pi_1(B), 1 \le j \le m. \tag{3.8}$$

Here we recall that $y_j = (R_2^T)^j y_0$.

Define now the lattice

$$\Gamma := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-r} : \left\langle x\,,\,(R_2^T)^{-1}y_j\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z},\ \forall\ 1 \le j \le m\}.$$

We first claim that the lattice Γ is of full-rank. Indeed, since $(R_2^T)^m y_j \equiv y_j (\operatorname{mod} \mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$, it follows that all the points $(R_2^T)^{-1}(y_j)$ have only rational components. Let $\tilde{m}$ be a common multiple for all the denominators of all the components of the vectors $(R_2^T)^{-1}(y_j)$, $1 \le j \le m$. If $\{e_i\}$ are the canonical vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{d-r}$, then $\left\langle \tilde{m}e_i\,,\,(R_2^T)^{-1}(y_j)\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ so $\tilde{m}e_i \in \Gamma$, and thus Γ is full-rank.

Next we prove that Γ is a proper sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}$. The vectors y_j are not in $\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}$ because $\widehat{\mu}((0, y_j)^T + k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and that would contradict the fact that $\widehat{\mu}(0) = 1$. This implies that the vectors $(R_2^T)^{-1}(y_j)$ are not in $\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}$ so Γ is a proper sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}$.

Since Γ is a full-rank lattice in $\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}$, there exists an invertible matrix with integer entries Q such that $\Gamma = Q\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}$, and since Γ is a proper sublattice, it follows that $|\det Q| > 1$ so $|\det Q| \geq 2$. In addition, we know from (3.8) that, for all $u_i \in \pi_1(B)$ and $d_{i,j}, d_{i,j'} \in B_2(u_i)$, $d_{i,j} - d_{i,j'} \in \Gamma$. Therefore, if we fix an element $v_i = d_{i,j_0} \in B_2(a_i)$, then all the elements in $B_2(a_i)$ are of the form $d_{i,j} = v_i + Qc_{i,j}$ for some $c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-r}$. The fact that $B_2(a_i)$ is a complete set of representatives $(\bmod\, R_2\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$ (Lemma 3.7) implies that the set of the corresponding elements $Qc_{i,j}$ is also a complete set of representatives $(\bmod\, R_2\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$. This shows (iii).

It remains to show $R_2Q = Q\widetilde{R_2}$ for some for some $(d-r)\times(d-r)$ integer matrix $\widetilde{R_2}$. Indeed, if $x \in \Gamma$, and $0 \leq j \leq m-1$, then $\left\langle R_2x\,,\,(R_2^T)^j y_0\right\rangle = \left\langle x\,,\,(R_2^T)^{j+1}y_0\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $(R_2^T)^m y_0 \equiv y_0 \ (\bmod\,\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$. So $R_2x \in \Gamma$. Then, for the canonical vectors e_i, there exist $\tilde{e}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-r}$ such that $R_2Qe_i = Q\widetilde{e_i}$. Let $\widetilde{R_2}$ be the matrix with columns $\widetilde{e_i}$. Then $R_2Q = Q\widetilde{R_2}$.

Finally, by choosing L with the property Lemma 3.3, the Hadamard triple properties of both $(R_1, \pi_1(B), L_1(\ell_2))$ and $(R_2, B_2(b_1), \pi_1(L))$ on $\mathbb{R}^r$ and $\mathbb{R}^{d-r}$ respectively are direct consequences of Lemma 3.7. ☐

4. Proof of the theorem

In the last section, we will prove our main theorem. We first need to study the spectral property of the quasi-product form. Suppose now the pair (R, B) is in the quasi-product form

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & 0 \\ C & R_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.1}$$

$$B = \left\{(u_i, d_{i,j})^T : 1 \leq i \leq N_1, 1 \leq j \leq N_2 := |\det R_2|\right\}, \tag{4.2}$$

and $\{d_{i,j} : 1 \leq j \leq N_2\}$ ($d_{i,j} = v_i + Qc_{i,j}$ as in Theorem 3.2) is a complete set of representatives $(\bmod\, R_2\mathbb{Z}^{d-r})$. We will show that the measure $\mu = \mu(R, B)$ has a quasi-product structure.

Note that we have

$$R^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} R_1^{-1} & 0 \\ -R_2^{-1}CR_1^{-1} & R_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and, by induction,

$$R^{-k} = \begin{bmatrix} R_1^{-k} & 0 \\ D_k & R_2^{-k} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } D_k := -\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} R_2^{-(l+1)} C R_1^{-(k-l)}.$$

For the invariant set $T(R, B)$, we can express it as a set of infinite sums,

$$T(R, B) = \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{-k}b_k : b_k \in B\right\}.$$

Therefore any element $(x, y)^T \in T(R, B)$ can be written in the following form

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_1^{-k}a_{i_k}, \quad y = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k a_{i_k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_2^{-k} d_{i_k, j_k}.$$

Let X_1 be the attractor (in $\mathbb{R}^r$) associated to the IFS defined by the pair $(R_1, \pi_1(B) = \{u_i : 1 \leq i \leq N_1\})$ (i.e. $X_1 = T(R_1, \pi_1(B))$). Let μ_1 be the (equal-weight) invariant measure associated to this pair.

For each sequence $\omega = (i_1 i_2 \dots) \in \{1, \dots, N_1\}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{1, \dots, N_1\} \times \{1, \dots, N_1\} \times ...$, define

$$x(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_1^{-k} u_{i_k}. \tag{4.3}$$

As $(R_1, \pi_1(B))$ forms Hadamard triple with some $L_1(\ell_2)$ by Lemma 3.7, the measure $\mu(R_1, \pi_1(B))$ has the no-overlap property (Theorem 1.4). It implies that for μ_1-a.e. $x \in X_1$, there is a unique ω such that $x(\omega) = x$. We define this as $\omega(x)$. This establishes a bijective correspondence, up to measure zero, between the set $\Omega_1 := \{1, \dots, N_1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and X_1. The measure μ_1 on X_1 is the pull-back of the product measure which assigns equal probabilities $\frac{1}{N_1}$ to each digit.

For $\omega = (i_1 i_2 \dots)$ in Ω_1, define

$$\Omega_2(\omega) := \{(d_{i_1,j_1} d_{i_2,j_2} \dots d_{i_n,j_n} \dots) : j_k \in \{1, \dots, N_2\} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For $\omega \in \Omega_1$, define $g(\omega) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k a_{i_k}$ and $g(x) := g(\omega(x))$, for $x \in X_1$. Also $\Omega_2(x) := \Omega_2(\omega(x))$. For $x \in X_1$, define

$$X_2(x) := X_2(\omega(x)) := \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_2^{-k} d_{i_k,j_k} : j_k \in \{1, \dots, N_2\} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Note that the attractor $T(R, B)$ has the following form

$$T(R, B) = \{(x, g(x) + y)^T : x \in X_1, y \in X_2(x)\}.$$

For $\omega \in \Omega_1$, consider the product probability measure μ_ω, on $\Omega_2(\omega)$, which assigns equal probabilities $\frac{1}{N_2}$ to each digit d_{i_k,j_k} at level k. Next, we define the measure μ_ω^2 on $X_2(\omega)$. Let $r_\omega : \Omega_2(\omega) \to X_2(\omega)$,

$$r_\omega(d_{i_1,j_1} d_{i_2,j_2} \dots) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_2^{-k} d_{i_k,j_k}.$$

Define $\mu_x^2 := \mu_{\omega(x)}^2 := \mu_{\omega(x)} \circ r_{\omega(x)}^{-1}$.

Note that the measure μ_x^2 is the infinite convolution product $\delta_{R_2^{-1} B_2(i_1)} * \delta_{R_2^{-2} B_2(i_2)} * \dots$, where $\omega(x) = (i_1 i_2 \dots)$, $B_2(i_k) := \{d_{i_k,j} : 1 \leq j \leq N_2\}$ and $\delta_A := \frac{1}{\#A} \sum_{a \in A} \delta_a$, for a subset A of $\mathbb{R}^{d-r}$. The following lemmas were proved in [DJ07].

Lemma 4.1. [DJ07, Lemma 4.4] *For any bounded Borel functions on* $\mathbb{R}^d$*,*

$$\int_{T(R,B)} f \, d\mu = \int_{X_1} \int_{X_2(x)} f(x, y + g(x)) \, d\mu_x^2(y) \, d\mu_1(x).$$

Lemma 4.2. [DJ07, Lemma 4.5] *If* Λ_1 *is a spectrum for the measure* μ_1*, then*

$$F(y) := \sum_{\lambda_1 \in \Lambda_1} |\widehat{\mu}(x + \lambda_1, y)|^2 = \int_{X_1} |\widehat{\mu}_s^2(y)|^2 \, d\mu_1(s), \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^r, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-r}).$$

We recall also the Jorgensen-Pedersen Lemma for checking in general when a countable set is a spectrum for a measure.

Lemma 4.3. [JP98] *Let μ be a compactly supported probability measure. Then Λ is a spectrum for $L^2(\mu)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}|\widehat{\mu}(\xi+\lambda)|^2\equiv 1.$$

We need the following key proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *For the quasi-product form given in (4.1) and (4.2), there exists a lattice Γ_2 such that for μ_1-almost every $x\in X_1$, the set Γ_2 is a spectrum for the measure μ_x^2.*

Proof. First we replace the first component $(R_1,\pi_1(B))$ by a more convenient pair which allows us to use the theory of self-affine tiles from [LW97]. Define

$$R^\dagger := \begin{bmatrix} N_1 & 0\\ 0 & R_2\end{bmatrix},$$

$$B^\dagger=\left\{(i,d_{i,j})^T : 1\le i\le N_1-1, 1\le j\le |\det R_2|\right\}.$$

We will use the super-script † to refer to the pair $(R^\dagger,B^\dagger)$.

As $d_{i,j}$ is a complete residue $(\operatorname{mod} R_2(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}))$, the set $B^\dagger$ is a complete set of representatives $(\operatorname{mod} R^\dagger(\mathbb{Z}^{d-r+1}))$. By [LW97, Theorem 1.1], $\mu^\dagger$ is the normalized Lebesgue measure on $T(R^\dagger,B^\dagger)$ and this tiles $\mathbb{R}^{d-r+1}$ with some lattice $\Gamma^*\subset\mathbb{Z}^{d-r+1}$. The attractor $X_1^\dagger$ corresponds to the pair $(N_1,\{0,1,\dots,N_1-1\})$ so $X_1^\dagger$ is $[0,1]$ and $\mu_1^\dagger$ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$. We need the following claim:

Claim: the set $T(R^\dagger,B^\dagger)$ actually tiles $\mathbb{R}^{d-r+1}$ with a set of the form $\mathbb{Z}\times\tilde{\Gamma}_2$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{d-r}$.

Proof of claim: This claim was established implicitly in the proof of Theorem 1.1, in section 7, p.101 of [LW97], we present it here for completeness. Let Γ^* be the lattice on $\mathbb{R}^{d-r+1}$ which is a tiling set of $T(R^\dagger,B^\dagger)$. We observe that the orthogonal projection of $T(R^\dagger,B^\dagger)$ to the first coordinate is $[0,1]$. Hence, for any $\gamma\in\Gamma^*$, the orthogonal projection of $T(R^\dagger,B^\dagger)$ is $[0,1]+\gamma_1$, where $\gamma=(\gamma_1,\gamma_2)^T$. As $\Gamma^*\subset\mathbb{Z}^{d-r+1}$. these projections $[0,1]+\gamma_1$ are measure disjoint for different γ_1's. Therefore, the tiling of $T(R^\dagger,B^\dagger)$ by Γ^* naturally divides up into cylinders:

$$U(\gamma_1):=([0,1]+\gamma_1)\times\mathbb{R}^{d-r}.$$

Focusing on one of the cylinders, say $U(0)$, this cylinder is tiled by $\tilde{\Gamma}$ where

$$\tilde{\Gamma}=\Gamma\cap(\{0\}\times\mathbb{Z}^{d-r}).$$

As $\mathbb{R}^{d-r+1}=\bigcup_{\gamma_1}U(\gamma_1)$, this means $T(R^\dagger,B^\dagger)$ also tiles by $\mathbb{Z}\times\tilde{\Gamma}_2$. This completes the proof of the claim.

Because of the claim, it follows from the well-known result of Fuglede [Fug74] that $\mu^\dagger$ has a spectrum of the form $\mathbb{Z}\times\Gamma_2$, with Γ_2 the dual lattice of $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$.

We prove that Γ_2 is an orthogonal set for the measure ${\mu^\dagger}^2_s$ for $\mu_1^\dagger$-almost every $s \in X_1^\dagger$. Indeed, for $\gamma_2 \neq 0$ in Γ_2, since $\mathbb{Z} \times \Gamma_2$ is a spectrum for $\mu^\dagger$, we have for all $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, with Lemma 4.1,

$$0 = \int_{T(R^\dagger,B^\dagger)} e^{-2\pi i\langle(\lambda_1,\gamma_2)\,,\,(x,y)\rangle}\, d\mu^\dagger(x,y) = \int_{X_1^\dagger}\int_{{X^\dagger}_2(x)} e^{-2\pi i\langle(\lambda_1,\gamma_2)\,,\,(x,y)\rangle}\, d{\mu^\dagger}^2_x(y)\, d\mu_1^\dagger(x)$$

$$= \int_{X_1^\dagger} e^{-2\pi i\lambda_1 x}\int_{{X^\dagger}_2(x)} e^{-2\pi i\langle\gamma_2\,,\,y\rangle}\, d{\mu^\dagger}^2_x(y)\, d\mu_1^\dagger(x).$$

This implies that that

$$\int_{{X^\dagger}_2(x)} e^{-2\pi i\langle\gamma_2\,,\,y\rangle}\, d{\mu^\dagger}^2_x(y) = 0,$$

for all $\gamma_2 \in \Gamma_2$ for $\mu_1^\dagger$-a.e. $x \in X_1^\dagger$. This means that Γ_2 is an orthogonal sequence for ${\mu^\dagger}^2_x$ for $\mu_1^\dagger$-a.e. $x \in X_1^\dagger$ so

$$\sum_{\gamma_2\in\Gamma_2} |\widehat{{\mu^\dagger}^2_x}(y+\gamma_2)|^2 \le 1, \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-r}), \tag{4.4}$$

for $\mu_1^\dagger$-a.e. $x \in X_1^\dagger$. With Lemma 4.4, we have

$$1 = \sum_{\gamma_2\in\Gamma_2}\sum_{\lambda_1\in\mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\mu}^\dagger(x+\lambda_1, y+\gamma_2)|^2 = \int_{X_1^\dagger}\sum_{\gamma_2\in\Gamma_2} |\widehat{{\mu^\dagger}^2_s}(y+\gamma_2)|^2\, d\mu_1^\dagger(s).$$

With (4.4), we have

$$\sum_{\gamma_2\in\Gamma_2} |\widehat{{\mu^\dagger}^2_s}(y+\gamma_2)|^2 = 1, \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}^{d-r}), \tag{4.5}$$

for $\mu_1^\dagger$-a.e. $s \in X_1^\dagger$, which means that Γ_2 is a spectrum for almost every measure ${\mu^\dagger}^2_s$ by Lemma 4.3.

Now, we are switching back to our original pair (R, B). Note that we have the maps $x : \Omega_1 \to X_1$ and $x^\dagger : \Omega_1 \to X_1^\dagger$, defined by $\omega \mapsto x(\omega)$ as above in (4.3), and analogously for $x^\dagger$. The maps are measure preserving bijections. Let $\Psi : X_1 \to X_1^\dagger$ be the composition $\psi = x^\dagger \circ x^{-1}$. i.e.

$$\Psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} R_1^{-j} u_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} N_1^{-j} j.$$

Consider the measure $\nu(E) = \mu_1^\dagger(\Psi(E))$ for Borel set E in $T(R_1, \pi_1(B))$. Because of the no-overlap condition, we can check easily that ν and μ_1 agrees on all the cylinder sets of $T(R_1, \pi_1(B))$. i.e.

$$\nu(\tau_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ \tau_{i_n}(T(R_1, \pi_1(B)))) = \frac{1}{N^n} = \mu_1(\tau_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ \tau_{i_n}(T(R_1, \pi_1(B))))$$

for all $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N_1 - 1\}$. This shows that $\nu = \mu_1$ and therefore $\mu_1(E) = \mu_1^\dagger(\Psi(E))$ for any Borel set E. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{N} = \{x \in T(R_1, \pi_1(B)) : \Gamma_2 \text{ is not a spectrum for } \mu_x^2\}$$

Then

$$\Psi(\mathcal{N}) = \{\Psi(x) \in X_1^\dagger : \Gamma_2 \text{ is not a spectrum for } \mu_x^2\}$$

Note also that, on the second component, the two pairs (R, B) and $(R^{\dagger}, B^{\dagger})$ are the same, more precisely $X_2(x) = X_2^{\dagger}(\Psi(x))$ and $\mu_x^2 = {\mu^{\dagger}}^2_{\Psi(x)}$ for all $x \in X_1$. This means that

$$\Psi(\mathcal{N}) = \{\Psi(x) \in X_1^{\dagger} : \Gamma_2 \text{ is not a spectrum for } \mu^2_{\Psi(x)}\}$$

which has $\mu_1^{\dagger}$-measure 0, by the arguments in the previous paragraph. Hence, $\mu_1(E) = \mu_1^{\dagger}(\Psi(E)) = 0$ and this completes the proof. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.3. To prove Theorem 1.3, we use induction on the dimension d. We know from [ŁW02, DJ06] that the result is true in dimension one (See also [DL15, Example 5.1] for an independent proof by considering $\mathcal{Z}$). Assume it is true for any dimensions less than d.

First, after some conjugation, we can assume that $\mathbb{Z}[R, B] = \mathbb{Z}^d$, according to Proposition 2.3. Next, if the set in (1.3) $\mathcal{Z} = \emptyset$, then the result follows from Theorem 1.4 (ii). Suppose now that $\mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$. Then, by Proposition 2.7, we can conjugate with some matrix so that (R, B) are of the quasi-product form given in (4.1) and (4.2).

By Theorem 3.2, $(R_1, \pi_1(B), L_1(\ell_2))$ forms a Hadamard triple with some L on $\mathbb{R}^r$ where $1 \leq r < d$. By induction hypothesis, the measure μ_1 is spectral. Let Λ_1 be a spectrum for μ_1. By Proposition 4.4, there exists Γ_2 such that Γ_2 is a spectrum for μ_x^2 for μ_1-almost everywhere x. Then we have, with (4.5), and Lemma 4.2,

$$\sum_{\gamma_2\in\Gamma_2}\sum_{\lambda_1\in\Lambda_1}|\widehat{\mu}(x+\lambda_1, y+\gamma_2)|^2 = \int_{X_1}\sum_{\gamma_2\in\Gamma_2}|\widehat{\mu_s^2}(y+\gamma_2)|^2\,d\mu_1(s) = \int_{X_1} 1 d\mu_1(s) = 1.$$

This means that $\Lambda_1 \times \Gamma_2$ is a spectrum for μ by Lemma 4.3 and this completes the whole proof of Theorem 1.3. ☐

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#228539 to Dorin Dutkay).

References

[CCR96] D. Cerveau, J.-P. Conze, and A. Raugi. Ensembles invariants pour un opérateur de transfert dans $\mathbf{R}^d$. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)*, 27(2):161–186, 1996.

[DJ06] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Iterated function systems, Ruelle operators, and invariant projective measures. *Math. Comp.*, 75(256):1931–1970 (electronic), 2006.

[DJ07] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen. Fourier frequencies in affine iterated function systems. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 247(1):110–137, 2007.

[DL14] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Chun-Kit Lai. Uniformity of measures with Fourier frames. *Advances in. Math.*, 252:684–707, 2014.

[DL15] Dorin Ervin Dutkay and Chun-Kit Lai. Self-affine spectral measures and frame spectral measures on $\mathbf{R}^d$. *submitted*, 2015.

[DS15] Xin-Rong Dai and Qiyu Sun. Spectral measures with arbitrary Hausdorff dimensions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 268(8):2464–2477, 2015.

[Fal97] Kenneth Falconer. *Techniques in Fractal geometry.* John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 1997. Mathematical foundations and applications.

[FMM06] Bálint Farkas, Máté Matolcsi, and Péter Móra. On Fuglede's conjecture and the existence of universal spectra. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 12(5):483–494, 2006.

[Fug74] Bent Fuglede. Commuting self-adjoint partial differential operators and a group theoretic problem. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 16:101–121, 1974.

[Hut81] John E. Hutchinson. Fractals and self-similarity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 30(5):713–747, 1981.

[JP98] Palle E. T. Jorgensen and Steen Pedersen. Dense analytic subspaces in fractal L^2-spaces. *J. Anal. Math.*, 75:185–228, 1998.

[KM06a] Mihail N. Kolountzakis and Máté Matolcsi. Complex Hadamard matrices and the spectral set conjecture. *Collect. Math.*, (Vol. Extra):281–291, 2006.

[KM06b] Mihail N. Kolountzakis and Máté Matolcsi. Tiles with no spectra. *Forum Math.*, 18(3):519–528, 2006.

[Li14] Jian-Lin Li. Analysis of μ_M, D-orthogonal exponentials for the planar four-element digit sets. *Math. Nachr.*, 287(2-3):297–312, 2014.

[Li15] Jian-Lin Li. Spectral self-affine measures on the spatial Sierpinski gasket. *Monatsh. Math.*, 176(2):293–322, 2015.

[LW97] Jeffrey C. Lagarias and Yang Wang. Integral self-affine tiles in $\mathbf{R}^n$. II. Lattice tilings. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 3(1):83–102, 1997.

[ŁW02] Izabella Łaba and Yang Wang. On spectral Cantor measures. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 193(2):409–420, 2002.

[Mat05] Máté Matolcsi. Fuglede's conjecture fails in dimension 4. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133(10):3021–3026 (electronic), 2005.

[Sch86] Alexander Schrijver. *Theory of linear and integer programming.* Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1986. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[Str98] Robert S. Strichartz. Remarks on: "dense analytic subspaces in fractal l^2-spaces". *J. Anal. Math.*, 75:229–231, 1998.

[Str00] Robert S. Strichartz. Mock Fourier series and transforms associated with certain Cantor measures. *J. Anal. Math.*, 81:209–238, 2000.

[Str06] Robert S. Strichartz. Convergence of mock Fourier series. *J. Anal. Math.*, 99:333–353, 2006.

[Tao04] Terence Tao. Fuglede's conjecture is false in 5 and higher dimensions. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 11(2-3):251–258, 2004.

[YL15] Ming-Shu Yang and Jian-Lin Li. A class of spectral self-affine measures with four-element digit sets. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 423(1):326–335, 2015.

[Dorin Ervin Dutkay] University of Central Florida, Department of Mathematics, 4000 Central Florida Blvd., P.O. Box 161364, Orlando, FL 32816-1364, U.S.A.,
E-mail address: `Dorin.Dutkay@ucf.edu`

[John Haussermann] University of Central Florida, Department of Mathematics, 4000 Central Florida Blvd., P.O. Box 161364, Orlando, FL 32816-1364, U.S.A.,
E-mail address: `jhaussermann@knights.ucf.edu`

[Chun-Kit Lai] Department of Mathematics, San Francisco State University, 1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94132.
E-mail address: `cklai@sfsu.edu`